Journal of Chromatogmphy, 223 **(1981) 156-164** *Biomedical Applications* **Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam -Printed in The Netherlands**

cHR0MB10.791

ANALYSIS OF CARMINOMYCIN IN HUMAN SERUM BY FLUOROlMETRIC HIGH-PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

SUSAN E. FANDRICH*

Bristol Labomtories, P-0. Box 657, Symcuse. NY 13201. and SUNY-Upstate Medical Center, Syracuse. NY 13210 (U.S.A.)

and

KENNETH A. PITTMAN

Bristol Labomtories, P-0. Box 657. Symcuse, NY 13201 (USA_)

(First received September 8th, 1980; revised manuscript received November 7th, 1980)

SUMMARY

A method is given for the determination of carminomycin (CMM) and a major metabolite carminomycinol (CMMOH) in serum from cancer patients after intravenous adminis**tration of carminomycin as the free drug.**

CMM and CMMOH are extracted from serum with chloroform, the extract evaporated and the residue dissolved in methanol. High-performance liquid chromatography analysis utilized a C₁₈ µBondapak reversed-phase column eluted with 0.1 mol/l acetate buffer (pH **4) - acetonitrile (60:40, v/v) with fluorescence detection_ Tbe assay is linear, reproducible, and precise with a limit of detection of 2 ng/ml. Representative serum levels of CMM and CMMOH in a cancer patient are presented.**

INTRODUCTION

Carminomycin - HCI $(C_{26}H_{27}NO_{10} \cdot HCl$, free base MW 513.51, NSC-180024) is an anthracycline antibiotic with antineoplastic activity. It is isolated from **the mycelia of** *Actinomadum carminafa* **[l, 21. The structure of carminomycin (CMM) (Fig. 1) is shown in relationship to the structures of adriamycin** and daunomycin. All three compounds contain the amino sugar daunosamine and differ slightly at carbons 4 and 14. CMM is metabolized by reduction

0378-4347/8110000-0000/\$02.50 Q 1981 EXsevier Scientific Publishing Company

Fig. 1. Structures of adriamycin (ADM) and metabolite adriamycinol, daunomycin, and **carminomycin (CMM) and metabolite carminomycinol (CMMOH).**

of the ketone at C₁₃ to an alcohol, carminomycinol (CMMOH), a major metab**elite. CMMOH has cytotoxic activity comparable with CMM at approximately equivalent optimal doses and similar maximum percentage increase in median survival times of treated vs. control mice on two different dose schedules 13,4]-**

Although CMM is chemically similar to adriamycin (ADM), recent studies suggest it may have a mechanism of action different from ADM [5] *_ CMM is* **being considered as an alternative to ADM chemotherapy due to its reported lower incidence of cardiotoxicity** [S] . **Reports have been published on the analysis and kinetics of ADM and metabolites in human serum 17-93, but not on the analysis and kinetics of CMM and its metabolites.**

This paper gives an analytical method for simultaneous determination of CMM and CMMOH in serum following intravenous injection of CMM as the free drug from the first dose of therapy. The development of the assay was based on the extraction properties and reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) separation of other anthraquinone glycosides. The proposed assay includes extraction from the buffered serum sample into an organic phase, re-extraction into a slightly acidic aqueous phase and separation by reversed-phase HPLC. A high detection sensitivity and selectivity of the assay is achieved by using fluorescence detection_

EXPERIMENTAL

Drugsand reagents

Carminomycin 1 (Lot 78F581, 95% by assay) and CMMOH were from Bristol Labs. (Syracuse, NY, U.S.A.). ADM[.] HCl (batch No. 565), manufac**tured by Farmitalia (Milan, Italy), and outdated human serum, used for the standards, wera supplied by SUNY/Upstate Medical Center (Syracuse, NY, USA.). Clinical chemistry control serum was purchased from General Diagnostics (Avon, CT, U.S.A.)_**

-Methanol, hexane, chloroform, and acetonitrile were of spectroquality Burdick and Jackson glass-distilled grade (Bainin Instrument, Brighton, MA, U.S.A.). Glacial acetic acid, monobasic potassium phosphate, sodium hydroxide solution, and ammonium hydroxide were of certified ACS grade. Deionized water filtered through a Millipore@ apparatus was used throughout.

Prepamtion of stock aliquots

Ten mg of each drug were dissolved in 5 ml of distilled water_ The CMM and CMMOH solutions were combined and quantitatively diluted to 0.2 mg/ml for each compound. The ADM solution was similarly diluted. Aliquots (0.2 ml) of each solution were transferred to disposable polypropylene tubes and stored frozen at -20° C or colder.

Preparation of working serum standards

Spiked serum standards were prepared in 13 Y 100 mm PTFE-lined screw cap test tubes as follows.

A stock aliquot of CMM and CMMOH was diluted 1: 20 with methanol in a polycarbonate tube and 50 μ 1 and 20 μ 1 of this solution were pipetted, in **duplicate, to 2.0 ml of serum. A sample of the 1: 20 dilution was diluted 1: 10** with methanol and 100, 50, 20, and 5 μ l of the resulting solution pipetted, in **duplicate, to 2.0 ml of serum. The additions to serum were carried out rapidly prior to the addition of ADM. This results in final concentrations of 250,100, 50, 25, 10, 7-5, 5 and 2.5 ng CMM and CMMOH per ml each. Standards were run with each set of patient samples.**

Assay extraction procedure

A stock aliquot of *ADM,* **the internal standard, was diluted I:200 with** methanol and 100 μ l of the dilution were added to each 2-ml serum standard **and 2-ml patient's clinical serum sample to give 50 ng ADM per ml. To each**

Fig. 2- Schematic flow diagram of the CMM assay in human serum.

standard and sample were added 5-O ml of hexane_ Each capped tube was shaken for 5 min on a rotorack at 35 oscillations per min and centrifuged at 2600 g for 15 min at ambient temperature. The hexane layers were as**pirated and discarded_ To each aqueous layer, 5 ml of chloroform were added; and each tube was capped, shaken, and centrifuged as before. The serum layer was aspirated and discarded. One ml of 0.1 mol/l phosphate buffer (pH '?.5), was added to each tube to wash the chloroform. The aqueous buffer was aspirated and discarded following mixing and centrifugation Each extract was evaporated to dryness under a stream of filtered nitrogen in a water bath at 37°C kept at ambient temperature overnight and reconstituted** in 100 μ l methanol, 80 μ l of which were chromatographed. Fig. 2 is a sche**matic flow diagram of the assay.**

High-performance liquid chromatography pammeters

The HPLC **system consisted of a U6K injector with a 2-ml loop (Waters Assoc., Milford, MA, U.S.A.), an Altex Model 110 pump (Bainin Instrument), and a Spectra Glo Fluorometer (No, 3301, Gilson Medical Electronics, Middle**town, WI, U.S.A.). Fluorometric detection utilized a 15-ul glass flow-cell **assembly (No. 3382) equipped with interference filters at 380-480 nm for** excitation (No. 5-60) and an emission filter with a lower cut-off of 560 nm **(No_ 3-66). A scan of the intrinsic fluorescence spectrum of CMM, CMMOH, and ADM using a spectrophotofluorometer (Aminco-Bowman, American Instruments, Silver Springs, MD, USA_) showed an excitation maximum of ca. 470 nm and an emission maximum at 545-550 nm for all three compounds. The column was a Waters Assoc. C₁₈ µBondapak reversed-phase** type (30 cm \times 3.9 mm I.D., 10-µm particle size, used at ambient temperature. The mobile phase was 0.1 mol/l ammonium acetate buffer (pH 4.0)—acetonitrile $(60:40, v/v)$. The buffer first was filtered through a $0.45 \mu m$ Milli**pore@ filter and the eluent was de-aerated in a sonic bath. The column was** conditioned with the mobile phase for approximately $1-2$ h before use. **The flow-rate was 1.9 ml/min, at a column head pressure of 130-150 bar with the mobile phase recycled_**

Quantitation

Detector output was displayed on a Hewlett-Packard recorder Model 7123A and collected and processed by *a* **Hewlett-Packard Model 3354/C Laboratory Automation System_ Each chromatogram was interpreted using the Model 3354/C software system. The chromatographic data for each chromatogram were collected for each set of analyses and stored on a disc. The retention time, maximum signal and integral (signal X time) for CMM, CMMOH, and ADM were automatically obtained for each chromatogram. At the end of each series of analyses, the regression of peak height ratio (CMM or CMMOH response/ADM response) versus CMM or CMMOH concentration in the spiked standards was calculated by least-squares analysis and the concentration of CMM or CMMOH in each serum sample was estimated by inverse prediction** $[10]$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chromatogmphy

In preliminary work, reversed-phase chromatography on C₁₈ and alkylphenyl µBondapak columns was compared with normal-phase adsorption **chromatography on a microparticulate silica column, namely, Partisil 10 PAC PXS IO/25 (Whatman, Clifton, NJ, U.S.A.). Normal-phase chromatography had variable and skewed peak heights and variable retention times. In general, normal-phase columns can become deactivated by the extent of hydration from solvents_ Also noted was loss of peak height when drug residues were reconstituted in the normal-phase eluent [chloroform-methanol-acetic acid-water (80:20:2:3)] and allowed to stand 1 h at room temperature_ For the drugs studied here; reversed-phase was less sensitive to small changes in solvent composition_ Our results correspond with recent publications recommending reversed-phase HPLC for ADM and metabolites [ll], although normal-phase chromatography has been used for CMM measurement [12]_** The results using a reversed-mode C_{18} column for the separation of CMM, **CMMOH, and ADM showed improved resolution, recovery, linearity, and reproducibility over normal-phase HPLC.**

Time (Mini

Fig. 3. (A) Eiution profile of ADM, the internal standard of 50 ng/ml, extracted from a zero time patient serum sample_ (B) Elution profile of serum supplemented with 25 ng/ml CMM and 25 ng/ml CMMOH with internal standard 50 ng/ml ADM. (C) Elution **profde of serum sample from a patient administered 22 mg/m' CMM 30 min previously. Peaks represent: 50 nglml AIM, 14.16 ng/ml CMMOH and 29.5 ng/ml CMM.**

ADM was chosen as the internal standard because of its similar structure i **and chromatographic behavior, enabling a short analysis time of 7 min per sample. Typical chromatograms, including spiked samples and a clinical sample, are shown in Fig. 3. Consistent retention times of 2.6 min for ADM, 4.0 min for CMM, and 5.8 min for CMMOH were obtained_**

The composition of the mobile phase was influenced by CMM being a weak difunctional acid with a pK_{a1} of 8.00, requiring an acid modified in an or**ganic solvent to reduce the elution time from a Crs column. After a day's**

run, the column was flushed with acetonitrile-water (70:30, v/v) to avoid **column degradation by long-term exposure to acidic pH.**

Assay procedures

Water, methanolic HCl, chloroform-methanol-acetic acid-water (80: **20:2:3, v/v), acetate buffer (pH 4.0) acetonitrile (60:40, v/v), and methanol were examined as reconstitution solvents. The results (Table I) indicated that methanol was superior. The buffer wash at the final assay step was examined at pH 6.5, 7.5, and 8.5 without any significant differences in recov**ery. Schoeffel (Model SF970) and Waters fluorometers were compared in **series to the GiIson fluorometer, but provided equivalent or less sensitivity than the Gilson. A commercial control serum was anaIyzed to check that interfering endogenous substances were excluded in the assay. The aglycone metabolites of CMM were not studied or quantified here. Typically, sample** preparation requires 3-4 h of operator time for 40 samples, and 7-8 h for **instrumental analysis, The reproducibility and stability of multiple CMM and ADM frozen aliquots were constant (Table II).**

Linearity

The **equations of the line of best fit for typical calibration curves for the** CMM and CMMOH standards were $y=0.017X + 0.015$, and $y=0.020X - 0.008$.

TABLE I

STABILITY OF CARMINOMYCIN IN VARIOUS SOLVENTS

Concentration of carminomycin 1 ng/ μ l.

TABLE II

cARn4lNoB!lYclNANDADRrAMY **CINALIQUOTS**

respectively; the coefficient of correlation (r) for both regressions was 0.999. Within the range of O-250 ng/ml no tendency of the calibration data to deviate from linearity was observed at either end of the range.

Limit of detection

Assuming **that the mean minus three times the standard deviation is a reasonable limit for the extreme lower limit of response for spiked samples, only one blank response (Table III, No. 16) for CMM was greater than this limit for 2.5 ng/ml standards. All the CMMOH blank values fell below the limit for 2.5 ng/ml CMMOH standards_ This separation of response indicates that the limit of detection for both compounds is slightly lower than** *2.5* **ng/ml serum at the 95% confidence level. Therefore, 2 ng/ml serum is a practical limit for the assay,**

Precision and reproducibility

A set of 50 ng/ml spiked standards was prepared as soon as the assay was **developed and subsets of five or six replicates assayed at intervals (Table IV). The precision within any subset was good, the mean coefficient of variation (C.V.) being 4.4%. However, the mean observed values were statisti**cally different ($p \le 0.05$) on each of four days during a month. The first **day being extremely different from those following, probably can be laid to operator inexperience, but the remaining variability must be expected** to be normal for this assay.

TABLE III

INSTRUMENTAL RESPONSE TO EXTRACTED BLANKS AND CARMINOMYCIN (CMM) AND CARMINOMYCINOL (CMMOH) STANDARDS NEAR THE LIMIT OF **DETECTION**

TABLE IV

ASSAY PRECISION

AB means are significantly different based on ANOVA and Duncan's Multiple Range Test. .-

DF = degrees of freedom; MS = mean square; F statistic value = treatment mean square/ **error mean square.

TABLE V.

Time (h)	Run 1 CMM concn. (ng/ml)	Run 2 CMM concn. (ng/ml)	Run 2 CMMOH concn. (ng/ml)	
0.25	78.0	79.4	28.04	
0.5	34.4	29.5	14.16	
1.0	26.2	22.7	14.27	
$\mathbf{2}$	19.7	16.1	12.05	
4	9.1	8.0	10.95	
6	6.3	3.4	9.68	
8	4.6	2.8	8.72	
12		2.2	11.07	
24	2.0	1.0	8.98	

REPRODUCIBILITY OF CARMINOMYCIN ASSAY OF HUMAN SERUM $Dose = 22$ mg/m².

Reproducibility was assessed by analyzing *a* **patient's sample set twice, at a one-month interval, with consistent results (Table V). Chronologically, regression equations were** $y = 0.015X - 0.003$ **and** $y = 0.017X + 0.015$ **. Varying intercepts probably account for the slight concentration differences-**

Application to biological samples

This assay **has been employed in analyzing clinical serum samples for CMM and CMMOH. A typical serum concentration profile of CMM and CMMOH** in man is shown in Fig. 4. The patient received 22 mg of CMM per m² in**travenously. The serum CMM decline appeared biphasic with an elimination phase half-life of 12.5 h. CMMOH was detected within 15 mm. After an initial decrease, the CMMOH concentration did not significantly change over**

Fig. 4. Concentration vs. time curve of CMM and CMMOH after intravenous infusion of 22 mg/m² CMM from the same patient as in Fig. 3 and Table V. \triangle **= CMM and** \circ **= CMMOH.**

the 24-h' collection period. The CMMOH concentration was higher than CMM from 4 h through 24 h. We have found different metabolite concentrations with CMM than reported pharmacokinetic values for ADM [13]. CMM ki**netic results were similar to daunomycin metabolism [14] _**

These results show the applicability of the proposed assay to serum samples from patients administered therapeutic doses of CMM. The results also indicate a need to determine serum levels of CMM and especially of CMMOH for longer than 24 h after dosing. The pharmacokinetics of CMM and the activity of CMMOH suggest that CMMOH may be an important contributor **to CMM aciminis tration and could be a useful drug itself.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are gratefd for the technical assistance of Gary Toukatly and are indebted to Dr. Marcel Rozencweig, Institut Jules Bordet, Belgium for supplying the clinical samples. We also wish to acknowledge Phyllis Coombs and Sue Ellen Briggs for their assistance in the typing of this manuscript.

REFERENCE6

- **1 G-F. Gause, M-G. Brazhnikova and V.A. Shorin, Cancer Chemother. Rep. Part 1, 58 (1974) 255-256.**
- **2 M-G. Brazhnikova, V.B. Zbarsky, V-T_ Ponomarenko and N-P_ Potapova, J. Antibiot., 27 (1974) 254-259.**
- **3 G. Cassinelli, A. Grein, P. Masi, A. Suarato, L. Bernardi, F. Arcamone. A. Di Marco, AM. casazza, G. Pratesi and C. Soranzo, J. Antibiot., 31 (1978) 178-184.**
- **4 W. Rose, unpublished results.**
- **5 V-H. DuVemay, J-A. Pachter and ST_ Crooke, Cancer Res., 40 (1980) 387-394.**
- **6 S-T. Crooke, J. Med-, 8 (1977) 295-316.**
- **7 N.R. Bachur, C.E. Riggs, M.R. Green, J.J. Langone, H. Van Vunakis and L. Levine, clin. Pharmacol_ Ther., 21(1977) 70-77_**
- 8 R.N. Pierce and P.I. Jatlow, J. Chromatogr., 164 (1979) 471-478.
- **9 S. Eksborg, H_ Ehrsson and L Andersson, J. Chromatogr-, 164 (1979) 479-486-**
- 10 B. Ostle and R.W. Mensing, Statistics in Research, Iowa State University Press, Ames, **IA**, 3rd **ed.**, 1975, pp. 165-242.
- 11 A.J. Quattrone and D.F. Ranney, Clin. Chem., 25 (1979) 1097.
- **12 S.D. Averbuch, T.T. Finkelstein, S.E. Fandrich and SD. Reich, J. Pharm. Sci., submitted for publication.**
- **13 R.S. Benjamin, C.E. Riggs, Jr. and N.R. Bachur, Cancer Res., 37 (1977) 1416-1420.**
- **14 D-H_ Huffman, R-S_ Benjamin and N-R- Bachur, (XII. Pharmacol_** *Titer.,* **13 (1972) 895+305.**